MESSRS. HOSKOPPA KRISHNA RAO, JAMMATIGE SHAMA JOIS AND HONNIAH HEGGADE (Koppa Taluk).

Q.—17. Will the Government be pleased to furnish the following information:—

(*a*) the amount of grants gazetted from time to time in the months of July, August and September 1924 on account of Flood Relief Operations;

(*b*) the amount actually spent out of those grants in those months for the relief of actual sufferers due to flood;

(*c*) the date or dates on which the distribution of relief actually took place;

(*d*) whether it was before or after the collection of subscriptions from the public, that the distribution of relief was ordered to be made;

(*e*) what is the total amount of subscription raised from the public?

(*f*) the amount spent out of the above and the balance if any, on that account;

(*g*) the actual remissions made in the assessment of lands damaged;

(*h*) the amount of remissions (districtwar) recommended by the Local Officers and the amount finally sanctioned by Government;

(*i*) the extent, both in area and amount, of damages to lands in the flooded area;

(*j*) the difference in the extent of damages between the lists prepared by the special Sheikdars in the first instance and verified by the local officers, and those finally submitted to Government;

(*k*) the amount finally recommended for remission by the officers who conducted Huzur Jamabandi in the respective taluks;

(*l*) the actual variation between the probable amount of remissions as per lists of special Sheikdars and that recommended by the Huzur Jamabandi Officers;

(*m*) were any non-officials associated with the preparation of list of damages and were they consulted before making final recommendations for the remission of assessment?

(*n*) the number of applications received from raiyats for Takavi Loans for repairing the damaged lands and building houses and the number rejected;

(*o*) the number of applications received from tenants and other petty traders of Koppa Taluk for the grant of Rs. 50 on personal security. Were those applicants granted the loan asked for; if not, why not? Was any representation made to Government in this behalf against the rejection of those applications in contravention of the terms of the Government Orders dated the 13th August 1924, and if so, when? Did the Government make enquiries into the failure of the local officers to grant those loans as promised to the Malnad Deputation that waited upon the Dewan in October last?

(*p*) was any application received from one Cuttlegiri Manjappa in Koppa Taluk, whose areca garden valued at Rs. 2,000 was buried under the debris due to tremendous land-slips, praying for suitable reliefs? Was the spot visited by the local officers? If so, when and how was this case disposed of?

REVENUE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

1924.

A.—(*a*)	July	August	September
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	42,000	85,000	44,000

(*b*) This information is not yet available.

(*c*) This information is not yet available.

(*d*) Grant of relief was ordered before the collection of public subscriptions.

(*e*) Rs. 1,06,800-1-3.

(*f*) Amount spent Rs. 60,241-5-6 and balance Rs. 46,558-11-9.

(*g*) Rs. 47,499-8-6.

		Rs.	a.	p.
(*h*)	Mysore	28,373	5	10
	Hassan	3,453	5	8
	Shimoga	8,424	12	3
	Kadur	7,248	0	9

The remissions were sanctioned by the Huzur Jamabandi Officers.

(*i*) *Vide* reply to Interpellation No. 30 *infra*.

(*j*) (*k*) (*l*) In view of the reply to (*h*) above, these questions do not arise.

(*m*) The answer is in the negative.

(*n*) (*a*) 1,620.

(*b*) 180.

(*o*) Five applications were received, of which one was granted. The other four were rejected as the applicants could not offer any security.

Yes. In October 1924.

Yes.

(*p*) Yes.

Yes; by the Amildar on the 25th October 1924.

Permanent remission of assessment has been granted

Supplementary Questions.

Mr. Krishna Rao.—

In regard to answer (*b*) and (*c*), I wish to know when the information will be furnished.

Dewan.—

Certain periodical returns have been prescribed by Government. When they are received, the information asked for will be available.

Mr. Krishna Rao.—

Will Government kindly state whether any relief was actually given before the collection of subscriptions from the Public?

Revenue Secretary.—

Details of that nature cannot be given now. Whatever money was not spent out of the Government grant and public subscriptions has been handed over to the Central Relief Committee. There was some actual relief rendered before public subscriptions were raised.

Mr. Krishna Rao.—

How much of the Government grant was actually spent?

Revenue Secretary.—

There are no separate accounts maintained. The whole thing forms one fund.

Mr. Krishna Rao.—

Will Government be pleased to state the total difference in the amounts of remissions as recommended by the Shekdars and those actually sanctioned?

Revenue Secretary.—

Government are not in possession of these details. They do not receive remission statements as sent up by Shekdars nor are they disposed of by Government.

Mr. Krishna Rao.—

Will they obtain and furnish the information?

Dewan.—

Every information cannot be furnished by Government. Whatever recommendations the Jamabandi officer makes will be sanctioned; the progress at each stage cannot be made known to the public. There is no object in giving anybody information in regard to what the lowest officer recommends. The final recommendations of the Jamabandi officer are perhaps what you want to know. Government are not prepared to give that information, and the fact that it has not been given already means that Government will not give it in future too. But if remissions are not adequate, an appeal to Government may be preferred.

Mr. Krishna Rao.—

Are Government satisfied that the remissions granted have afforded sufficient relief?

Dewan.—

Yes; because no large number of appeals have been received.

Mr. Paramasiviah.—

Will Government say how the balance of money intended for flood relief is proposed to be utilised?

Revenue Secretary.—

That is a question for the Central Committee to decide.

MESSRS. HOSAKOPPA KRISHNA RAO, JAMMATIGE SHAMA JOIS, HONNIAH HEGGADE (Koppa Taluk).—

Q.—**18.** Will the Government be pleased to state the period at which the salaries of the officers of the State, both highly paid and low paid were fixed with information as to the living charges that prevailed at that time, and the percentage of comparative increase or decrease in the living charges obtaining at present in the case of officers of both these classes.